

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Bcs. 1450 Alemadria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/960,328 | 09/24/2001 | Itsuo Fujiwara | 0649-0804P-SP | 5333 |
| 2292 | 7590 05/09/2003 | | | |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH | | | EXAMINER | |
| PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | CHEA, THORL | |
| FALLSCHO | KCH, VA 22040-0747 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1752 | 10 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | mx-10 | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Applicati n No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| , · | 09/960,328 | FUJIWARA ET AL. | | | |
| Advisory Acti n | Examin r | Art Unit | | | |
| | Thorl Chea | 1752 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cov r she t with the | correspond nce add | ress - | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCF) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION IN | ication. A proper re | ply to a | | |
| | - 644 - Final raination | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time to the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in months after the mailing date of the final re | HE FINAL REJECTION. 1.136(a) and the appropriate e in the final Office action; o ejection, even if timely filed | see MPEP Ite extension fee Ixtension fee under Ixtension fee under Ixtension fee under | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C | FR 1.191(d)), to avoid distincts | e period set forth in Il of the appeal. | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered | because: | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require fur | ther consideration and/or search | ı (see NOTE below) | ; | | |
| (I) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note | e below); | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cand | celing a corresponding number of | of finally rejected cla | aims. | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej | jection(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wol | ald be allowable if submitted in a | | | | |
| 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request | See Continuation Sheet. | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered | because it is not directed SOLE | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims | ent(s) a) will not be entered of would be rejected is provided t | r b)⊠ will be entere pelow or appended. | ed and an | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow | ws: | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(a) withdrawn from consideration: | | | • | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is a)□ approved or b)□ dis | approved by the Ex | aminer. | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State | ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(| s) | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

Thorl Chea Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reason set forth in the previous office action. It would have been expected to the worker of ordinary skill in the art to include any antifoggants taught in Hirabayashi et al. in column 8 lines 13-33 including oxazoline compound amongst those disclosed therein to improve the antifogging properties such as presented in the table on page 7 of the response. If the mercuric acetate for any reason, there are several antifoggants lised therein to be used in the reproduction of the samples disclosed in Hirabayashi which are equivalent to the mercuric acid and oxazoline compound that is safefor the experiment. The sample 1 presented in the argument would have been expected to increase in fog due to the absence of antifoggants.